EPILEPSY IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES: PERSPECTIVES FROM INDIA

India, with a population of 1.2 billion, has an estimated 12 million persons with epilepsy (PWE). As 70% of Indians are agrarian, more PWE live in villages and small towns than in big cities. However, most doctors and hospitals providing epilepsy care are concentrated in a few large metropolitan cities. This sets the stage for many of the ills that plague epilepsy care in India.

Untreated epilepsy. Reported estimates of treatment gap between the north and south of India and also between rural and urban populations vary (table e-1 on the Neurology® Web site at Neurology.org). For the most neglected regions, a treatment gap of up to 90% has been reported. While untreated epilepsy suggests failure of the health care system, it also constitutes low-lying fruit, where it would be cost-effective to seek out, diagnose, and institute antiepileptic drug (AED) treatment in drug-naive patients. We know that if patients previously unexposed to AEDs are treated, at least half of them are expected to become seizure-free. In other words, the number needed to treat for such patients is 2. There are few other entities in neurology where outcome with treatment is expected to be so good. India is a young nation with more than two-thirds of the population below age 35 years. Improving treatment options and availability will empower PWE to not only improve their own lives but also participate in and contribute to society.

Consequences of untreated epilepsy. Active epilepsy with frequent seizures is dangerous, depressing, and disabling. While medical consequences of seizures are recognized more easily, the social, economic, psychological, personal, and professional fallout often escape attention. If a child has epilepsy, there is a high probability of him or her dropping out of school. Young PWE are often discriminated against when seeking employment, forcing them to remain financially dependent on family, friends, or society. Acceptance and fulfillment in a marital relationship is frequently denied to a PWE, more so if the PWE is a woman. Stigmatization against PWE in society is widespread, deep-rooted, and pervasive. Seizures in untreated patients are often generalized and may lead to injuries and mutilation (figure). The severe disability associated with active epilepsy and frequent ongoing seizures is often forgotten or overlooked even by experts in the field.

What causes the treatment gap? Illiteracy, poor health awareness in general, and cultural acceptance of alternative, mostly unscientific, systems of therapy have been advanced as reasons for the treatment gap. While these may have been correct in the past and may still be true to some extent today, most Indian villages have urbanized to varying extents and information technology has made inroads in many previously insulated communities. In a survey of 200 rural PWE, all knew that epilepsy was a medical condition and 97% believed they would benefit from modern medicine. Increasingly, the only reason that patients cite for not ever having taken treatment in spite of having had active epilepsy for decades is lack of access to a doctor. The poignancy of this bottleneck is further exaggerated because of the willingness and ability of thousands of these untreated patients to buy and consume AEDs if only they could get a prescription. While patients often know that medicines can help them, lack of information about the details of treatment, especially that treatment generally has to be continued for years, leads to a secondary treatment gap where a PWE prematurely stops treatment and loses seizure control.

Deficit in primary care. Why is it so difficult for patients in most of rural and semiurban India to consult a doctor? On paper, there is a hierarchy of functional government-run facilities responsible for primary health care needs at various levels, including villages. On the ground, however, these services are inconsistent and patchy, and there is in effect no reliable, uniform primary health care system present throughout the country. There are regional disparities, nonuniformity of available resources between states, and varying degrees of participation from public and private sectors. The cost of health
care is largely borne by individuals and out-of-pocket health spending is currently 86%. These intricacies culminate in a tentative primary care that is not available to many. The reality for a PWE in a village is often to rely on the village quack who peddles an assortment of “remedies,” not because the PWE knows no better but more often because he or she can find no better.

The silver lining. In spite of so many problems, there is also some respite for Indian patients seeking epilepsy treatment. Most AEDs are not only available widely, easily, and consistently in the market, but there are Indian “branded generics” that are competitively priced and well within reach of the majority. Of the first-line drugs, which still form the mainstay of AED usage in India, carbamazepine, phenytoin, and valproic acid at mean adult doses would cost between $3 and $6 for a month’s therapy. Newer drugs are somewhat more expensive, with levetiracetam, topiramate, zonisamide, and lacosamide priced at between $8 and $10 for a month’s treatment. However, as the newer AEDs are generally only used as add-on therapy, the total cost of treatment when they have to be used may be out of reach of many Indian patients.

Burden of preventable epilepsy. Epilepsy need not be as big a problem as it is. In a study where 500 PWE were classified, almost 60% of patients had epilepsy due to neurocysticercosis or birth hypoxia, both of which may be prevented by improving sanitation and reducing the practice of unsupervised home deliveries, which is decreasing but still found in many rural communities. It has been demonstrated that there is a direct correlation between the prevalence of cysticercosis and epilepsy in communities. If epilepsy due to head trauma and other CNS infections is also added, India could reduce its epilepsy disease burden considerably. This, of course, requires longer term planning and actions extending far beyond the health care system.
What can be done? How can the epilepsy treatment gap in India be narrowed? For any meaningful change, there has to be an emphatic departure from the status quo and existing systems of dispensation have to be radically rethought and restructured. There is unlikely to be a numerical reconciliation anytime soon, between the number of neurologists or even physicians, practicing in India and the number that is actually needed. In the face of this extreme shortage of trained personnel who have traditionally treated epilepsy, the answer may lie in smarter triaging of patients to ensure that skill is not wasted and is used in the order that it is needed. An epileptologist or even a neurologist need not see every PWE. Primary care in epilepsy has to be nurtured, revived, and strengthened. The epilepsy workforce can be quickly expanded with previously unused health personnel including nurses and paramedics. Applications of technology are being tested, for example prediagnostic screening for epilepsy at the community level using a phone app and remotely following up patients who are on AEDs using mobile phones. These practices have to prove their worth and then find acceptance among both patients and the medical fraternity while other ways to use technology innovatively have to be pursued. Mobile outreach clinics have also been tried and shown to have a role.
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